REMARKS

By Advisory Action dated April 23, 2008, the Amendment After Final Rejection filed on April 4, 2008 was not entered on the grounds that the revisions to independent Claim 1 raised new issues.

The Advisory Action made no objections to the revisions to the form of the Claims 6 and 8 which corrected typographical errors. (See page 2, last paragraph of the Final Action dated October 3, 2007 regarding Claim 8, and page 10, first paragraph of the Amendment After Final Rejection filed on April 4, 2008 regarding Claim 6).

A Second Amendment After Final Rejection was filed on May 6, 2008 for the purpose of revising the form of Claims 6 and 8, as proposed in the Amendment After Final Rejection, to correct the typographical errors. Additionally, the Second Amendment After Final Rejection revised the form of Claim 5, as suggested by the Examiner at page 2, last paragraph of the Final Action dated October 3, 2007, to overcome an objection raised against Claim 5.

During a telephone discussion with Examiner Gall on May 29, 2008, the Examiner indicated that the Second Amendment After Final Rejection would be entered for purposes of Appeal if a further amendment were filed to revise the form of Claim 15 to delete "or like apparatus" from Line 4 of that claim, and if the

Specification Amendments filed with the Amendment After Final Rejection filed on April 4, 2008 were to be re-filed. present Third Amendment After Final Rejection revises the form of Claims 5, 6 and 8 identically to that proposed in the Second Amendment After Final Rejection, and additionally revises Claim 15 as proposed by the Examiner and re-submits the Specification Amendments as originally presented in the Amendment After Final. Rejection filed on April 4, 2008.

Applicant respectfully requests that the revisions to the form of Claims 5, 6, 8 and 15 be entered for the purpose of overcoming formal grounds of rejection to place these claims in better form for appeal. Applicant submits that the revisions to these claims are directed exclusively to matters of form, do not affect the scope of substance of the claims, and do not raise any new issues requiring further search or consideration by the Patent & Trademark Office.

Respectfully submitted,

Mark P. Stone Reg. No. 27,954

Attorney for Applicant

25 Third Street, 4th Floor

Stamford, CT 06905

(203) 329-3355